Noname manuscript No.
(will be inserted by the editor)

A continuum approximation to the population dynamics of periodical cicadas

Jonathan Machta · Julie C Blackwood · Andrew Noble · Andrew M Liebhold · Alan Hastings

Received: date / Accepted: date

arXiv:1612.01429v1 [q-bio.PE] 5 Dec 2016

Abstract In addition to their unusually long life cycle, periodical cicadas, *Magicicada* spp., provide an exceptional example of spatially synchronized life stage phenology in nature. Within regions (broods) spanning 50,000 to 500,000 km^2, adults emerge synchronously every 13 or 17 years. While satiation of avian predators is believed to be a key component of the ability of these populations to reach high densities, it is not clear why populations at a single location remain entirely synchronized. We develop non-linear Leslie matrix-type models of periodical cicadas that include predation-driven Allee effects and competition in addition to reproduction and survival. Using both numerical and analytical techniques, we demonstrate the observed presence of a single brood critically depends on the relationship between fecundity, competition, and predation. We analyze the single-brood steady state in the large life-span limit using a tractable continuum approximation and show that it yields a good approximation to the numerical results for the biologically relevant case of a 17-year lifespan.

J. Machta
Department of Physics, University of Massachusetts, Amherst, MA 01003, USA and
Santa Fe Institute, 1399 Hyde Park Road, Santa Fe, New Mexico 87501, USA
E-mail: machta@physics.umass.edu

J.C. Blackwood
Department of Mathematics and Statistics, Williams College, Williamstown, MA 01267, USA

A.E. Noble
Department of Environmental Science and Policy, University of California, Davis, CA 95616, USA

A.M. Liebhold
Northern Research Station, U.S. Forest Service, Morgantown, WV, 26505, USA

A. Hastings
Department of Environmental Science and Policy, University of California, Davis, CA 95616, USA

Keywords Periodical cicada · Allee effects · Leslie matrix

1 Introduction

Periodical cicadas, *Magicicada* spp., are remarkable insects in many ways. These species are characterized by exceptionally long life cycles (either 13 or 17 years), their body size is large and they exist at very high densities (sometimes $> 500/\mathrm{m}^2$) [25]. Another fascinating aspect of these species is that the developmental timing of populations are entirely synchronized; at any one location, periodical cicadas live the majority of their lives underground as nymphs feeding on roots and then emerge as adults in a single year [17,24,25]. The timing of adult emergence is synchronized across large geographical areas ranging from 50,000 to 500,000 km^2. Cohorts of developmentally synchronized individuals are called broods and while their synchronized emergence is believed to be related to predator satiation, it is not clear why only a single brood is normally present in a given spatial location [18,4,25]. Here we use a novel matrix model to explore the cause of synchronized cicada emergence.

Though periodical cicadas are exceptional in many ways, aspects of their life-cycle are shared by a larger category of periodical insects. A species is periodical if it has a life cycle has a fixed length of several years with adults appearing synchronously in a single year [3]. Though the causes of developmental synchrony of periodical insects is often unclear, it has been hypothesized to result either from the impact of predators that numerically respond to variation in prey abundance among years or from asymmetrical competition among individuals of varying age [11].

While several previous studies have used mathematical models to understand the evolution of the long generation time and prime-numbered life cycle lengths (namely 13 and 17 years) (e.g. [21,20,28]), few studies have focused on identifying mechanisms generating developmental synchrony (e.g. [12]). Given that periodical cicadas are semelparous – only adults reproduce and then die immediately after – Leslie matrix models are an appropriate framework to mathematically describe their population dynamics. However, Leslie matrix models of periodical cicadas require a 13×13 or 17×17 matrix. Additionally, there are several non-linearities associated with the population dynamics. For example, inter- and intra-brood competition as well as predation-driven Allee effects (that is, small broods suffer higher *per capita* mortality from predation) are important properties of cicada life cycles [13,26,5,16]. Consequently, the analytic tractability of such a model is limited by its high dimensionality, especially in the presence of non-linearities in the population dynamics.

We begin by developing a non-linear Leslie matrix model of the dynamics of periodical cicadas with a finite number, q, age classes. Given that only a single brood tends to be present in a spatial location, we attempt to understand the conditions where there is a solution with this single brood at a stable equilibrium. Therefore, we consider the solutions of the model when only one brood has a non-zero population size. To increase the tractability of our anal-

ysis, we consider the model in the limit as $q \to \infty$. A stability analysis of the solutions to this model allows us to identify conditions under which the brood positively exists. Finally, we numerically compare our findings to simulations of the model with a finite number of age classes.

2 Mathematical Model

We construct a model of periodical cicadas using a nonlinear Leslie matrix model that incorporates competition and predation-driven Allee effects. Although periodical cicadas are known to have 13 or 17-year lifespans, we generalize so that the lifespan is $q \in \mathbb{N}$ years where q is large. The population density of age-cohort i at year t is given by x_t^i where $i = 0, \ldots, q-1$. Hereafter, we refer to all classes $x_t^0, \ldots, x_t^{q-2}$ as juvenile age classes and x_t^{q-1} as the adult age class. Additionally, we refer to individuals in x_t^0 as hatchlings. The general structure of a Leslie matrix model of cicada populations with a maximum lifespan of q years is therefore given by

$$\begin{bmatrix} x_{t+1}^0 \\ x_{t+1}^1 \\ x_{t+1}^2 \\ \vdots \\ x_{t+1}^{q-1} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & \ldots & 0 & \mathcal{R}(x_t^{q-1}) \\ s_0(\mathbf{x}_t) & 0 & \ldots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & s_1(\mathbf{x}_t) & \ldots & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \ldots & s_{q-2}(\mathbf{x}_t) & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x_t^0 \\ x_t^1 \\ x_t^2 \\ \vdots \\ x_t^{q-1} \end{bmatrix}. \tag{1}$$

Here, $s_i(\mathbf{x}_t)$ captures the survivorship of individuals of age i to age $i+1$ where $\mathbf{x}_t$ is a vector of the population sizes for each age class, and $\mathcal{R}(x_t^{q-1})$ is the overall fecundity of the adult population in the presence of Allee effects. We assume that survivorship depends on both density independent (mortality) and density dependent (competition) processes. We also assume that competition occurs between all juvenile age classes and for analytic tractability we assume the functional form of competition is linear. Therefore, for each age cohort $0 \leq i < q-1$, the yearly survivorship is

$$s_i(\mathbf{x}_t) = \max\left[0, \left(1 - \frac{\sigma}{q}\right)\left(1 - \frac{\beta}{q}\sum_{j=0}^{q-2} x_t^j\right)\right], \tag{2}$$

where σ determines mortality and β controls the competition of juveniles. The maximum ensures non-negative population values. For simplicity, we assume that σ and β do not vary across relevant ages. Note that both parameters σ and β are divided by q to insure that the large q limit is well-defined while holding these parameters fixed. Also, for fixed q we require that $\sigma < q$.

The population dynamics described by Eqns. (1)-(2) can alternatively be written as one equation describing reproduction,

$$x_{t+1}^0 = \mathcal{R}\big[x_t^{q-1}\big] x_t^{q-1} \tag{3}$$

where x^0_{t+1} is the number of offspring produced by x^{q-1}_t at time $t+1$, and one describing survival,

$$x^{i+1}_{t+1} = \max\left[0, x^i_t\left(1-\frac{\sigma}{q}\right)\left(1-\frac{\beta}{q}\sum_{j=0}^{q-2}x^j_t\right)\right], \tag{4}$$

for $0 \le i < q-1$. A given age cohort of individuals is referred to as a brood; more precisely, a brood is a group of individuals that all emerge during the same year and brood k reaches adulthood at times $t \equiv k \pmod q$

Obtaining analytic results for this model is difficult because of its high dimensionality, either $q = 17$ or 13 for cicadas. On the other hand, the large value of q suggests that accurate results may be obtained in a continuum, $q \to \infty$ limit where the difference equations (4) are replaced by a differential equation. In the sections that follow we develop this continuum description. We explicitly solve the model, derive the equilibria, and determine their stability when there is one brood with a non-zero population size. Based on these findings, we perform numerical tests to determine how well the continuum description approximates the model with finite but large q.

3 Single-brood equilibria for large q

In this section, we analytically determine the stationary state for the model described in Eqns. (3)-(4) in the limit of large q when only one brood has a non-zero population density. In this scenario, the competition term contains only a single brood and Eqn. (4) simplifies to

$$x^{i+1}_{t+1} = \max\left[0, x^i_t\left(1-\frac{\sigma}{q}\right)\left(1-\frac{\beta}{q}x^i_t\right)\right]. \tag{5}$$

Next, assume that q is large so that the effect of background mortality and competition is small in a single year. In the limit $q \to \infty$ and holding σ and β fixed, we ignore higher order terms and the difference equation (Eqn. (5)) becomes a differential equation for the population $x(\tau)$ of the brood at scaled age $\tau = i/q$ in its life-cycle. The differential equation describing the age dependent dynamics of the brood is then written as

$$\frac{dx(\tau)}{d\tau} = -\sigma x(\tau) - \beta x(\tau)^2, \tag{6}$$

where $0 \le \tau \le 1$, $x(0)$ is the population at age zero (hatchlings), and $x(1)$ the adult population. The solution to this differential equation is

$$\frac{1}{x(\tau)} = \frac{e^{\sigma\tau}}{x(0)} + \frac{\beta}{\sigma}(e^{\sigma\tau}-1), \tag{7}$$

and the adult population (where $\tau = 1$) can be found explicitly as

$$x(1) = \left(\frac{e^{\sigma}}{x(0)} + \frac{\beta}{\sigma}(e^{\sigma}-1)\right)^{-1}. \tag{8}$$

The size of the subsequent cohort of hatchlings $\tilde{x}(0)$ is obtained from the reproduction equation (3),

$$\tilde{x}(0) = \mathcal{R}\big[x(1)\big]x(1) \tag{9}$$

Now, we can combine Eqns. (7) and (9) and the result is a difference equation for the size of the adult population from one generation to the next in the single-brood case,

$$\left(\frac{e^{-\sigma}}{\tilde{x}(1)} - \frac{\beta}{\sigma}(1-e^{-\sigma})\right)^{-1} = \mathcal{R}\big[x(1)\big]x(1). \tag{10}$$

To solve this equation, we need to explicitly define $\mathcal{R}$, which accounts for fecundity and predation-driven Allee effects. We assume that the mean fecundity is given by m and predation follows a Type II Holling response so that

$$\mathcal{R}(x) = \max\left[0, m\left(1 - \frac{P_{\max}}{A_{\text{half}} + x}\right)\right], \tag{11}$$

where $P_{\max}$ is the maximum density of cicadas predated per year and A_{half} is the population density at which half of the maximum predation is achieved. Note that x, $P_{\max}$, and A_{half} have dimensions of number of cicadas per area while β has dimensions of the inverse of cicadas per area. It is convenient to non-dimensionalize the equations by defining the dimensionless adult population

$$\chi = x(1)\beta, \tag{12}$$

and dimensionless parameters,

$$\alpha = A_{\text{half}}\beta, \qquad \delta = (P_{\max} - A_{\text{half}})\beta. \tag{13}$$

In terms of the dimensionless adult population, χ, we can obtain the population density of each age class using Eqn. (7). In particular, the hatchling population is given by

$$x(0) = \frac{e^{\sigma}}{\beta}\left(\frac{1}{\chi} - r\right)^{-1}, \tag{14}$$

where r is defined as,

$$r = (e^{\sigma} - 1)/\sigma. \tag{15}$$

Note that when σ is small, r is close to and slightly larger than unity.

The equilibrium solutions of Eqn. (10) are be found by setting $\tilde{x}(1) = x(1)$ or, equivalently, $\tilde{\chi} = \chi$. Using the form given in Eqn. (11), we find that the equilibria are given by $\chi = 0$, and the solutions of the quadratic equation,

$$\tilde{m}^2 r\chi^2 + \tilde{m}\big(1 - \tilde{m}(1 + r\delta)\big)\chi + \tilde{m}(\alpha + \tilde{m}\delta) = 0, \tag{16}$$

where $\tilde{m}$ is the effective fecundity

$$\tilde{m} = me^{-\sigma}. \tag{17}$$

Table 1 Parameters and definitions.

Parameter	definition
q	maximum cicada lifespan (years)
σ	controls mortality between generations
β	controls juvenile competition
τ	nondimensional age of individual relative to q
$P_{\max}$	maximum population density of cicadas predated per year
$A_{\rm half}$	population density at which half maximum predation is achieved
m	*per capita* fecundity
$\tilde{m}$	effective *per capita* fecundity
$\chi(\tau)$	dimensionless population size
α, δ	dimensionless parameters related to predation
κ	scaled parameter

The explicit solutions to the quadratic equation (Eqn. 16) are,

$$\chi = \frac{1}{2r}\left[1 + r\delta - 1/\tilde{m} \pm \sqrt{(1 + r\delta - 1/\tilde{m})^2 - 4r(\alpha/\tilde{m} + \delta)}\right]. \tag{18}$$

The larger "+" solution is stable based on the following topological argument. The map describes a one-dimensional flow with two non-trivial fixed points, the $+$ and $-$ solutions and the extinct solution, $\chi = 0$. For large χ, the population decreases for each generation. For χ less than the "$-$" solution, the population decreases to extinction while for χ greater than the "$-$" solution the population converges toward the "+" solution.

3.1 Simplified functional response

In addition to considering a Type II Holling response for predation, Eqn. (11), we additionally consider a limiting case that simplifies the solutions for χ. In particular, we consider a functional response such that the reproductive rate of the population increases linearly as the population density of cicadas increases, thus imposing a weak predation-driven Allee effect. This is achieved by letting $\delta = 0$, with both $\tilde{m} \to \infty$ and $A_{\rm half} \to \infty$ while holding $\kappa = \alpha/\tilde{m}$ (where $\tilde{m} = e^{-\sigma}m$) and β fixed. In this regime, the total number of offspring is of the form $\mathcal{R}[x]x = (m/A_{\rm half})x^2 = (\beta e^{\sigma}/\kappa)x^2$. Here, the stable solution simplifies to

$$\chi = \frac{1}{2r}\left(1 + \sqrt{1 - 4r\kappa}\right). \tag{19}$$

Note that the single-brood solution exists only for

$$r\kappa \le 1/4. \tag{20}$$

Recalling that $\kappa = \alpha/e^{-\sigma}m$, $r = (e^{\sigma} - 1)/\sigma$, and $\alpha = A_{\rm half}\beta$, we note that the existence and size of the single-brood state depends critically on the relationship between the magnitudes of the fecundity (m), competition (β), mortality

(σ), and predation ($A_{\rm half}$). This important observation provides insight into the mechanisms driving the persistence of a single brood, and we will demonstrate that this finding holds qualitatively for the more complicated Type II Holling response.

In contrast, only the extinct solution, $\chi = 0$, exists for $r\kappa > 1/4$. If $r\kappa = 1/4$ then $\chi = 1/(2r)$ or $x(1) = 1/(2\beta r)$ whereas the hatchling population is given by $x(0) = e^{\sigma}/\beta r$ (from Eqn. 14). Therefore, there is a discontinuous transition to the single-brood state at $r\kappa = 1/4$. Finally, for small κ we find that $x(1) \to 1/\beta r$ and $x(0) \sim e^{\sigma}/\kappa\beta r^2$.

4 Simulation results

We performed numerical simulations to assess the agreement between the analytic results of the model in the limit as $q \to \infty$ and the behavior of the model for 17-year periodical cicadas (i.e. $q = 17$). The simulations were carried out by iterating the dynamics of the system in the single-brood state, Eqns. (3) and (5), until the adult population in successive generations is unchanged within a small tolerance. The initial condition must be chosen in the basin of attraction of the stable single-brood equilibrium solution. If the initial population is less than the unstable solution, the population will go extinct.

In the sections that follow, we first consider the simpler form of the reproduction equation described in Sec. 3.1 followed by an analysis of the full model with a Type II Holling response, Eqn. (11).

4.1 Simplified functional response

In the limit as $q \to \infty$, we first consider the simplified model in Section 3.1. The solutions of χ as a function of κ are displayed in Figure 1; recall that $\kappa = \alpha/\tilde{m}$ so that κ is related to the strength of competition, predation, and mortality relative to fecundity, $\kappa = A_{\rm half}\beta e^{\sigma}/m$. Since we are considering only a single brood, competition is specifically intra-specific. As seen in Fig. 1, the behavior of χ as a function of κ obtained from Equation (19) (blue curve) with $\sigma = 0$ ($r = 1$) is in reasonable agreement with numerical simulations of the map for $q = 17$ and $m = 1000$ (red curve) as long as κ is sufficiently large. For small κ, however, the $q = 17$ solution falls below the $q \to \infty$ solution and then undergoes a period-doubling cascade to chaos within a small range of κ before going extinct. At $\kappa \approx .044$ the simulations show that there is a bifurcation to a single-brood two-cycle. In the range $0.0036 \lesssim \kappa \lesssim 0.0049$ the behavior is chaotic and for $\kappa \lesssim 0.0035$ only the extinct state exists for finite $q = 17$.

For finite q and κ small, better agreement with the $q \to \infty$ theory is obtained using exponential competition,

$$x_{t+1}^{i+1} = x_t^i\left(1 - \frac{\sigma}{q}\right)\exp\left(-\frac{\beta}{q}\sum_{j=0}^{q-2} x_t^j\right), \tag{21}$$

which is, in any case, more ecologically realistic but less tractable theoretically. The green curve in Fig. 1 shows the $q = 17$, $m = 1000$, $\sigma = 0$ simulation with exponential competition. The $q = 17$ single-brood steady undergoes a period-doubling transition to chaos in a very narrow range of κ. Numerical simulations show that the bifurcation to the two-cycle occurs at $\kappa \approx .0113$. In the range $0.038 \lesssim \kappa \lesssim 0.040$ the behavior is chaotic and for $\kappa \lesssim 0.038$ only the extinct state exists. Note that for the $q \to \infty$ the solution exists as $\kappa \to 0$ where $\chi = 1/r$ and period doubling does not occur. The extinction transition at small κ for finite q is the result of a population overshoot leading to strong competition in the hatchling population and a subsequent crash.



Fig. 1 Dimensionless adult population, χ vs. the parameter, κ for the single-brood stationary state when $m \gg 1$. The blue curve (top) is the $q \to \infty$ theoretical result (19) with $\sigma = 0$, $\delta = 0$ and $m \to \infty$ (the simplified functional response). The red and green curves correspond to the equilibria using $q = 17$, $\sigma = 0$, and $m = 1000$. The red curve (bottom) represents linear competition (4) while the green curve (middle) represents exponential competition (21). Period doubling, chaos and extinction for the $q = 17$ simulations for small κ are not shown.

4.2 Type II Holling response

The single-brood steady state exists whenever the discriminant for the quadratic equation (16) is non-negative,

$$(1 + r\delta - 1/\tilde{m})^2 - 4r(\alpha/\tilde{m} + \delta) \geq 0. \tag{22}$$

Along the surface in parameter space where the discriminant vanishes, the dimensionless adult population, χ_c, is given by

$$\chi_c = \frac{1 + r\delta - 1/\tilde{m}}{2r}. \tag{23}$$

This value is a minimum in the sense that as the parameter α is varied (with $\delta > 0$) within the single-brood regime, χ is always bounded in the range $\chi_c \leq \chi \leq 2\chi_c$. Of course, the adult population itself varies over a much larger range and can be very large if β is small, since $x(1) = \chi/\beta$. As χ approaches χ_c and the limit of linear stability is approached, the single-brood state is increasingly susceptible to extinction from finite perturbations since the "+" and "−" solutions of the quadratic equation become closer and if χ is less than the "−" solution, the flow is toward extinction.

Given that the full version of the model has many parameters, we choose to study a set of parameters that is realistic for 17-year periodical cicadas. Based on the literature reasonable values of the parameters are $m = 50$, $P_{\max} = 3.9/\mathrm{m}^2$, $A_{\mathrm{half}} = 3.3/\mathrm{m}^2$ and $\sigma = 1.4$ [1]. Unfortunately, there have been very few studies of competition among cicada nymphs so the nature and magnitude of competition remains an unknown [14]. Consequently, here we simply plot varying values of χ as a function of β, measured in units of m^2. Figure 2 shows the theoretical (Eqn. 18) $q \to \infty$ result (blue curve) along with the $q = 17$ simulation results for linear competition (red curve) and exponential competition (green curve). The theory is again a reasonable approximation to the finite q simulations. In addition, the behavior of the simple (quadratic) functional response, is qualitatively similar to these more realistic parameters. In terms of dimensionless parameters, we find that the edge of stability of the single-brood steady state obtained from Eqn. (23) is at $\beta_c = 0.173\mathrm{m}^2$. The dimensionless parameters α and δ vary with β but their values at the edge of stability of the single-brood state are as follows: $\alpha_c = 0.572$ and $\delta_c = 0.104$. The control parameter κr at the edge of stability for the simple (quadratic) functional response is $1/4$ whereas for these parameters $\kappa_c r = 0.101$. The quantity χr at the edge of stability for the simple quadratic functional response is $1/2$ whereas for these parameters $\chi_c r = 0.573$. Thus realistic parameters for the 17-year cicadas are qualitatively similar to the simplified case of the quadratic functional response. The critical density of the adult population is, $x(1) = \chi_c/\beta_c = 1.51/\mathrm{m}^2$. Below this density, the single-brood steady state cannot exist. For weak competition the adult population is inversely proportional to the competition parameter, $x(1) \approx (0.4/\beta)\mathrm{m}^{-2}$. For small β, the $q = 17$ single brood solutions exists in the limit $\beta \to 0$ for both linear and exponential competition. For exponential competition there is a unique steady state for small β. For linear competition there is a bifurcation at $\beta \approx 0.009$ to a period-2 oscillation followed by a period-doubling cascade to chaos. The population dynamics displays two-banded chaos in the limit $\beta \to 0$ for linear competition. Note that the (unrealistic) $\beta \to 0$ limit is singular since the population diverges so that linear and exponential competition differ in this limit.



Fig. 2 Dimensionless adult population, χ vs. the competition parameter, β (m^2) for the single-brood stationary state for realistic values of parameters for 17-year cicadas ($m = 50$, $P_{\max} = 3.9/\mathrm{m}^2$, $A_{\mathrm{half}} = 3.3/\mathrm{m}^2$ and $\sigma = 1.4$). The blue curve (top) is the $q \to \infty$ theoretical result. The red and green curves are both $q = 17$, simulations. The red curve (bottom) represents linear competition (4) while the green curve (middle) represents exponential competition (21). A period-doubling transition for linear competition for $q = 17$ occurs for $\beta \approx 0.009\mathrm{m}^2$ and is not shown. There is no period-doubling transition for exponential competition. The edge of stability of the single-brood state occurs at $\beta_c = 0.173\mathrm{m}^2$.

5 Conclusions

The spatial distribution of periodical cicadas remains enigmatic: broods of these insects emerge synchronously during their 13th or 17th year, and only one brood is present in most spatial locations [18,4,25]. Using a combination of numerical and analytical methods, we analyzed a non-linear and non-spatial Leslie matrix-type model in attempt to uncover the conditions under which a stable state exists that corresponds to the presence of only a single brood.

Using a simple functional response for predation, we demonstrated that the stability of a single brood state critically depends on the relationship between competition, predation and the effective fecundity. This observation was compared to simulations corresponding to a finite q ($q = 17$) – which is representative of the actual behavior of periodical cicadas – for both the simple linear form of competition as well as a more realistic exponential functional form. In particular, we assumed that fecundity is large and compared the analytically-determined equilibrium for the model with infinite q with the numerically approximated equilibrium for finite q. We demonstrated that if predation or competition are too high relative to fecundity, only the extinct state is stable. Intuitively, predation and competition need to be sufficiently

low to guarantee that fecundity can overcome these processes and guarantee positive population growth. We found that the $q \to \infty$ theory is in reasonable agreement with the $q = 17$ simulations.

While the above observations relied on simplifying the form of predation and assuming a very high fecundity, we also compared our theoretical equilibrium analysis to numerical simulations of the finite q case using parameters realistic for periodical cicadas. Here, the parameters driving fecundity and predation are fixed based on existing data [13,14,15], and we only vary the parameter corresponding to the level of competition, reflecting the fact that very little information exists about competition among nymphs. Here, similar observations are made: the single brood state only stably exists when competition is sufficiently small to avoid driving the population to extinction. Again, we found that the $q \to \infty$ theory is in reasonable agreement with the $q = 17$ simulations.

In contrast to our theoretical results, in some cases the simulations for $q = 17$ undergo a period-doubling cascade followed by extinction if predation and competition are very weak relative to fecundity. The $q \to \infty$ limit in our equilibrium analysis likely eliminates this more complex behavior. The possibility of period doubling and extinction for the finite q case is sensible: high fecundity leads to a boom in population size of hatchlings, but this amplifies competition and ultimately leads to a crash in the population.

In addition to considering the single brood equilibrium, we also attempted to find additional equilibria that correspond to the stable coexistence of multiple broods. This was done through a numerical exploration of parameter space under various initial conditions, and these simulations always resulted in either a single-brood state or extinction. We therefore conjecture that the only non-extinct, stable solutions of the Leslie matrix models studied here are single-brood steady states.

We made several simplifying assumptions to increase the tractability of our analysis in this paper. For example, we implemented relatively simple functional forms for competition; however, there is currently a limited understanding of the mechanistic underpinnings of competition. Furthermore, individual periodical cicadas occasionally emerge in years different from the majority of their cohort and instead delay or accelerate their year of emergence [23,19, 25,10,22]. Our forthcoming research implements alternative functional forms for competition as well as exploring this "leakage" phenomenon to determine whether our results apply to models with more general models of cicadas.

Previous studies of periodical insects indicate that the synchronized development characteristic of these organisms may arise either as a result of asymmetrical competition among different age cohorts or as an emergent consequence of numerical responses of predators [11]. Similar mechanisms have also been advanced to explain developmental synchronization in insects that exhibit multiple generations within a single year [6,8,7,9,2,27]. The matrix model applied here demonstrates how inter-cohort competition can drive synchronization in a periodic insect but we did not explore the possible role of numerical responses in predators. For periodical cicadas, there is good evi-

dence for such responses [16] but their role in synchronization will need to be explored in future studies.

Acknowledgements The authors thank the Santa Fe Institute for sponsoring two working groups during which much of this work was carried out. JM, AH and AN acknowledge support from the National Science Foundation under INSPIRE Grant No. 1344187.

References

1. Blackwood, J., Meyer, A., Noble, A., Machta, J., Hastings, A., Liebhold, A.: [in preparation]
2. Briggs, C., Sait, S., Begon, M., Thompson, D., Godfray., H.C.J.: What causes generation cycles in populations of stored-product moths? Journal of Animal Ecology **69**, 352–366 (2000)
3. Bulmer, M.G.: Periodical insects. The American Naturalist **111**(982), 1099–1117 (1977)
4. Dybas, H.S., Lloyd, M.: The habitats of 17-year periodical cicadas (homoptera: Cicadidae: Magicicada spp.). Ecological Monographs **44**(3), 279–324 (1974)
5. Gascogne, J.C., Lipcius, R.N.: Allee effects driven by predation. Journal of Applied Ecology **41**, 801–810 (2004)
6. Gurney, W., Nisbet, R., Lawton, J.: The systematic formulation of tractable single-species population models incorporating age structure. The Journal of Animal Ecology pp. 479–495 (1983)
7. Hastings, A.: Cycles in cannibalistic egg-larval interactions. Journal of Mathematical Biology **24**(6), 651–666 (1987)
8. Hastings, A., Costantino, R.F.: Cannibalistic egg-larva interactions in tribolium: an explanation for the oscillations in population numbers. American Naturalist pp. 36–52 (1987)
9. Hastings, A., Costantino, R.F.: Oscillations in population numbers: age-dependent cannibalism. The Journal of Animal Ecology pp. 471–482 (1991)
10. Heath, J.E.: Thermal synchronization of emergence in periodical "17-year" cicadas (homoptera, cicadidae, magicicada). The American Midland Naturalist **80**(2), 440–448 (1968)
11. Heliövaara, K., Väisänen, R., Simon, C.: Evolutionary ecology of periodical insects. Trends in Ecology & Evolution **9**(12), 475–480 (1994)
12. Hoppensteadt, F.C., Keller, J.B.: Synchronization of periodical cicada emergences. Science **194**(4262), 335–337 (1976)
13. Karban, R.: Increased reproductive success at high densities and predator satiation for periodical cicadas. Ecology **63**(2), 321–328 (1982)
14. Karban, R.: Opposite density effects of nymphal and adult mortality for periodical cicadas. Ecology **65**(5), 1656–1661 (1984)
15. Karban, R.: Evolution of prolonged development: A life table analysis for periodical cicadas. The American Naturalist **150**(4), 446–461 (1997)
16. Koenig, W.D., Liebhold, A.M.: Avian predation pressure as a potential driver of periodical cicada cycle length. The American Naturalist **181**(1), 145–149 (2013)
17. Leonard, D.E.: Biology and ecology of magicicada septendecim (l.) (hemiptera: Cicadidae). Journal of the New York Entomological Society **72**(1), 19–23 (1964)
18. Lloyd, M., Dybas, H.S.: The periodical cicada problem. ii. evolution. Evolution pp. 466–505 (1966)
19. Lloyd, M., White, J.A.: Sympatry of periodical cicada broods and the hypothetical four-year acceleration. Evolution **30**(4), 786–801 (1976)
20. Tanaka, Y., Yoshimura, J., Simon, C., Cooley, J.R., Tainaka, K.i.: Allee effect in the selection for prime-numbered cycles in periodical cicadas. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences **106**(22), 8975–8979 (2009)
21. Webb, G.: The prime number periodical cicada problem. Discrete and Continuous Dynamical Systems Series B **1**(3), 387–399 (2001)

22. White, J., Lloyd, M.: 17-year cicadas emerging after 18 years: a new brood? Evolution **33**(4), 1193–1199 (1979)
23. White, J., Lloyd, M., Zar, J.H.: Faulty eclosion in crowded surburban periodical cicadas: populatioins out of control. Ecology **60**(2), 305–315 (1979)
24. White, J.A., Lloyd, M.: Growth rates of 17 and 13-year periodical cicadas. The American Midland Naturalist **94**(1), 127–143 (1975)
25. Williams, K.S., Simon, C.: The ecology, behavior, and evolution of periodical cicadas. Annual Review of Entomology **40**, 269–295 (1995)
26. Williams, K.S., Smith, K.G., Stephen, F.M.: Emergence of 13-yr periodical cicadas (cicadidae: Magicicada): phenology, mortality, and predator satiation. Ecology **74**(4), 1143–1152 (1993)
27. Yamanaka, T., Nelson, W., Uchimura, K., Bjørnstad, O.: Generation separation in simple structured life cycles: Models and 48 years of field data on a tea tortrix moth. The American Naturalist **179**(1), 95–109 (2012)
28. Yoshimura, J., Hayashi, T., Tanaka, Y., Tainaka, K., Simon, C.: Selection for prime-number intervals in a numerical model of periodical cicada evolution. Evolution **63**(1), 288–294 (2009)